

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL  
96-43

Jeffrey J. Tieger  
10180 SW 4 Street  
Plantation, FL 33324

December 5, 2005

FCC Chairman Kevin Martin  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

ORIGINAL

Dear Mr. Martin:

I recently read about the proposed change in the collection method for the Universal Service Fund fee from a use basis to a flat fee. As I understand it, this change will result in all phone users paying the same amount whether they use long distance services or not. If this is truly the case that would mean that consumers who do not make long distance calls, or rarely make these calls, would be subsidizing heavy users of long distance service.

Many of your constituents, including me, my friends, family and neighbors, will be negatively impacted by this unfair change proposed by the FCC.

As you know, USF is currently collected on a revenue basis. People who use more pay more into the system. If the FCC changes that system to a flat fee, that means that someone who uses one thousand minutes a month of long distance, pays the same amount into the fund as someone who uses zero minutes of long distance a month. Constituents who use their limited resources wisely should not be penalized for doing so.

A flat fee tax could cause many low-volume long distance users, like students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers, to give up their phones due to unaffordable monthly increases on their bills. Shifting the funding burden of the USF from high volume to low-volume users is radical and unnecessary. In addition, it would have a highly detrimental effect on small businesses all across America.


The Keep USF Fair Coalition, of which I am a member, keeps me informed about the USF issue with monthly newsletters and up to date information on their website, including links to FCC information. While I am aware that federal law does not require companies to recover, or "pass along" these fees to their customers, the reality is that they do. As a consumer I would like ensure I am charged fairly. If the FCC goes to a numbers taxed, my service will cost more. And according to the Coalition's recent meetings with top FCC officials, the FCC has plans to change to a flat fee system soon and without legislation.

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List ABCDE

I will continue to monitor developments on the issue and continue to spread the word to my community. I request you pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know how a flat fee tax could disproportionately affect those in your constituency.

Thank you for your continued work and I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Very truly yours,

  
Jeffrey Tieger